

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/013,645

Applica.

Henderson et al

Examiner

Richard Lee

Group Art Unit 2713



		FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
		xpires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	_	xpires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final specifion.
•	date on	resion of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of any the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be a from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	period	it's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any or response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(e).
App but	olicant is NO	response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jul 20, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The pr	posed amendment(s):
	□ wi	be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	X w	not be entered because:
	X	ney raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		hey raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	X	hey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the sues for appeal.
		hey present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO	: Newly proposed claim 8 raises new issues.
	□ A ₁	licant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newl separ	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a e, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
		idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because:
	The a	idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by aminer in the final rejection.
ΠŽI		poses of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
		allowed:objected to:
		rejected: 1-3 and 7
		oposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
П	Note	ne attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
	Othe	The Ca
		RICHARD LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2713



Creation date: 09-12-2004

Indexing Officer: KKHAMBAY - KHOUTHONG KHAMBAY

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 09013645

Legal Date: 09-05-2000

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	A	2
2	XT/	3

Total number of pages: 5	
Remarks:	
Order of re-scan issued on	•